

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,104	06/22/2005	Vladimir Kuzunich Cherkasov	2003B073	1152	
23455 7	590 03/10/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
EXXONMOE 5200 BAYWA	BIL CHEMICAL CO	MPANY	LU, C C	LU, C CAIXIA	
P.O. BOX 214			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BAYTOWN, '	TX 77522-2149		1713		

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/517,104	CHERKASOV ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Caixia Lu	1713			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SH WHI - Ext afte - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Downsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
′=	•	action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims		•			
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application.					
,_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
•	2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage			
*	application from the International Bureau	• • • • •	.a			
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	ea.			
		•	•			
Attachme	• •	A) [1] Januar dan J. O. J	(DTO 442)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/517,104 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. It is noted that at least claims 14,18-20, 29-30, 34, 36, 38, 40-42 and 46 identified as original are not the same as those claims as originally filed, and currently amended claims 35, 37, 39, and 43 are not based the claims as oringally filed. A new set of claims with proper identifier and amendment format are regusted.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.
- 3. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-19 and 42-44, drawn to a transition metal compound.

Group II, claim(s) 29, 31, and 32, drawn to a supported transition metal compound.

Group III, claim(s) 20-28, 30, and 33, drawn to a catalyst composition comprising a transition metal compound and an activator.

Group IV, claim(s) 34, 36, 38, and 40, drawn to a polymerization process in the presence of composition of Group II.

Group V, claim(s) 35, 37, 39, and 41, drawn to a polymerization process in the presence of composition of Group III.

Group VI, claim(s) 45-46, drawn to an oligomerization process.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the transition metal complex of Group I, comprise the same the transition metal complex as the prior art the

transition metal complex, e.g. Zhao et al. (US 2004/0044150) and Llatas et al. (US 6,410,768). As the transition metal complex does not make a contribution over the prior art, i.e. the special technical feature(s) is anticipated by or obvious in view of the prior art, unity of invention is lacking and restriction is appropriate.

4. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows: various transition metal complexes.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: various transition metal complexes are listed in claims1-19 and 42-44.

The following claim(s) are generic: none.

6. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Pursuant to PCT Rule 13.2 and PCT Administrative Instructions, Annex B, Part 1(f)(I)(B)(2), the species are not art recognized equivalents.

7. A telephone call was made to Attorney Catherine Bell on February 28, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species (i.e., a single transition metal complex) and invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,104 Page 5

Art Unit: 1713

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caixia Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1106. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful and the matter is urgent, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached at (571) 272-1114. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Caixia Lu, Ph. D. Primary Examiner March 8, 2006